



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/977,591	11/25/1997	JUNICHI NAKATA	450100-4193	3294
20999 7.	590 09/08/2005		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			TRAN, HAI V	
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
·			2611	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	08/977,591	NAKATA ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hai Tran	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of						
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	compliance with 37 (CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		on phane of the contention of the	(1.102.02.1).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b) wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-40</u> .		•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-18</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary						
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			-			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The affidavit are the arriver as a second of the control	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa lee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
10. L. Other						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues, "... In this process, an identification code identifying a user (sent from a control request from a second presentation device) is not matched with a previously stored identification code identifying the user." see Applicant remark page 13, lines 14-18. In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant because Theimer discloses that clients making requests from a 2nd device/location are identified (Col. 8, lines 60-Col. 9, lines 12; Col. 10, lines 3-25; Col. 11, lines 20-45) and the device's policy database is then used to decide whether or not to perform any given client RPC request (see Col. 14, lines 62-67. In doing so, the requesting client's identity is checked to be matched with pre-stored client id before granting the request (Col. 11, lines 25-44), for example delivering video message from a device at location A to another device at location B when the user moves from location A to location B. At location B, the user able to request the delivery of the video message from location A to location B because the user id is registered with the Name Service in which the system recognizes the user id whenever the user logon the network regardless of the location in which the user logon. As such, the examiner maintains the rejection.

HAITRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER